

Amendments to permitted development rights

Details

Q1. Details

Name

[REDACTED]

Organisation

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Preferred contact details (Email address, phone number or address)

[REDACTED]

Q2. Type (please select one from the following)

Business

Q3. Responses to consultations may be made public. To keep your response anonymous (including email addresses) tick the box.

No Response

Questions

Q4. Q1. Should the additional days granted by Class A of Part 4A be retained permanently, permitting temporary uses to take place for up to 56 days (28 days for specified uses) in a calendar year?

Yes

Q5. Q2. Do you have any evidence as to any benefits and impacts as a result of introducing the additional number of days for temporary uses to take place since April? If yes, please specify.

Yes

Comments:

I live with my husband, 2 children and elderly parents [REDACTED].

Last year in all the turmoil of Covid we decided to open an eco, pop-up campsite, taking advantage of the 56 days permitted development. It felt like a huge risk but we needed to boost our dwindling income. The risk paid off and we successfully ran our campsite. If only 28 days had been allowed I don't think we would have opened at all. The financial outlay would have been too great a risk.

If we are able to permanently open for 56 days a year, it becomes financially viable to create a better quality camping experience, without the worry that 28 days is not enough to sustain the business, and would also allow us to employ staff.

Campsites being open for 56 days will help site owners run their sites with less campers, but for a longer period, thus causing a lower level of disruption, noise, traffic etc.

The more 'home country' holidays the better for the environment, less air travel the better.

Wales needs to encourage 'home country' and UK wide holiday makers to spend their holiday money in Wales. If as a country we can provide a high quality, sustainable camping experience, we all benefit.

I am hopeful that with the help of 56 day permitted development becoming permanent, we can create a sustainable business to provide a future for our family on Ynys Mon.

Q6. Q3. Do you have views on whether there should be additional restrictions on the use of this PDR to mitigate against potential impacts of making this permanent? If yes, please specify.

Yes

Comments:

To guard against the negative impact of making 56 days permitted development permanent, I believe some rules and guidance should be in place to protect the surrounding environment.

1 A register of pop-up campsites would provide a platform for information and a discussion of best practice.

2 Basic requirements and guidance on amenities such as number of toilets/ showers needed.

3 A requirement to provide sufficient bins and manage disposal/ recycling of rubbish.

4 Encourage site owners to make every effort to lessen the impact on the environment and mitigate any changes with tree/ hedge planting. Encouraging re-wilding.

Q7. Q4. Should the number of days for holding a market generally be extended? If Yes, what is an acceptable number of days for holding a market? What conditions should apply to manage the planning impacts?

No Response

Q8. Q5. Should any additional days over the permitted 14 days be provided for markets operated by or on behalf of a local authority?

No Response

Q9. Q6. Do you agree the permitted changes of use within town centres should become permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

No Response

Q10. Q7. Do you agree the permitted development right for the use of the highway adjacent to a hospitality use for that purpose should be made permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

No Response

Q11. Q8. If you answered yes to Q7, are any additional conditions required to mitigate potential amenity impacts?

No Response

Q12. Q9. Do you agree the permitted development right for the installation of awnings at hospitality uses should be made permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

No Response

Q13. Q10. Do you have any comments regarding Part 3A?

No Response

Q14. Q11. Do you have any comments regarding Part 12A?

No Response

Q15. Q12. Do you agree that HMOs should not benefit from permitted development rights for alterations and extensions to a dwellinghouse granted by Part 1 of the GPDO? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

No Response

Q16. Q13. Do you agree with the proposed alterations to Class F? If not, please suggest alternative approaches, restrictions or thresholds that could be adopted.

No Response

Q17. Q14. Do you agree greater flexibility should be provided through permitted development rights to accelerate the rollout of electric vehicle charging infrastructure? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

No Response

Q18. Q15. Do you agree with reintroducing permitted development rights for the protection of poultry and other captive birds?

No Response

Q19. Q16. Do you agree with the proposals for amending Article 4 directions?

No Response

Q20. Q17. We would like to know your views on the effects of the proposals would have on the Welsh language, specifically on opportunities for people to use Welsh and on treating the Welsh language no less favourably than English.

What effects do you think there would be? How could positive effects be increased, or negative effects be mitigated?

No Response

Q21. 18. We have asked a number of specific consultation questions. If you have any related issues which we have not specifically addressed, please use the space below to raise them.

No Response

Submit your response

Q22. If you want to receive a receipt of your response, please provide an email address.

Email address

████████████████████